1 2	MARK E. INBODY (SBN 180862) minbody@selmanlaw.com SELMAN BREITMAN LLP 33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-4537 Telephone: 415.979.0400 Facsimile: 415.979.2099			
3				
4				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SIRIUS AMERICA INSURANCE COMPANY,			
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SIRIUS AMERICA INSURANCE COMPANY, as successor in interest to	Case No. CASE NO	. 3:15-CV-03462-SI	
11	MUTUAL SERVICE CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT		
13	Plaintiff,	Judge: Susar	ı Illston	
14	y.	Complaint Filed:	July 28, 2015	
15	COMAC INVESTMENTS, INC.; DOES 1-10,			
16	Defendant.			
17	STIPULATED ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT			
18	Plaintiff Sirius America Insurance Company ("Sirius") filed its Complaint for declaratory			
19	relief and reimbursement. Pursuant to a settlement agreement and release, Sirius and Comac			
20	Investments, Inc. ("Comac") have stipulated to a dismissal of the third and fourth causes of			
21	action for reimbursement. (Dkt. #30.) The remaining first and second causes of action are for			
22	declaratory relief regarding Sirius' duty to defend and indemnify Comac in the Underlying			
23	Action, respectively.			
2425	Sirius and Comac stipulate to entry of this Stipulated Final Judgment and Order to			
23	resolve the remaining matters in dispute in this	in dispute in this action between them.		

NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendant, having requested the Court to enter this Order, and the Court having considered the Order reached between the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

26

27

28

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	١

1

FINDINGS

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter.
- 2. The Complaint alleges that Sirius never had a duty to defend or indemnify Comac as a matter of law in the Underlying Action.
- 3. Defendant admits the facts set forth in Sirius' motion for partial summary judgment, and admits that Sirius never had a duty to defend or indemnify it as a matter of law in the Underlying Action as set forth in Sirius' motion. (Dkt. #23 #28.)
- Comac waives all rights to appeal or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this
 Order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/1/15

Hon Susan Illston District Judge o

Hon. Susan Illston, District Judge of the United States District Court, Northern District of California

SO STIPULATED.

DATED: November 30, 2015

SELMAN BREITMAN LLP

By: /s/ Mark E. Inbody
MARK E. INBODY
Attorneys for Plaintiff SIRIUS AMERICA
INSURANCE COMPANY, as successor in
interest to MUTUAL SERVICE CASUALTY
INSURANCE COMPANY

Case 3:15-cv-03462-SI Document 32 Filed 12/01/15 Page 3 of 3 CRAIGIE, MCCARTHY & CLOW DATED: November 30, 2015 By: <u>/s/ Peter W. Craigie</u> PETER W. CRAIGIE Attorney for Defendant COMAC INVESTMENTS, INC. Selman Breitman LLP STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT CASE NO. 3:15-CV-03462-SI